Exploiting fine- and coarse-grained parallelism using a directive based approach

Arpith C. Jacob, Michael Wong

Ravi Nair, Alexandre E. Eichenberger, Samuel F. Antao, Carlo Bertolli, Tong Chen, Zehra Sura, Kevin O'Brien

IBM

Summary

- Modern HPC clusters are heterogeneous, employing light- and heavy-weight cores with accelerators
- Transparently exploiting heterogeneous clusters is getting increasingly complex
 - No single approach for multicores, accelerators, and clusters
 - Often requires vendor specific languages and toolchains
 - Or unfamiliar languages: X10, Fortress, Chapel, UPC
 - Or high overhead frameworks such as Hadoop
- OpenMP 4.0 introduces an offload model suitable for accelerators with disjoint, non-coherent memory

We present a compiler and runtime that uses OpenMP 4.0 to offload kernels to <u>nodes in a</u> <u>cluster</u>

Related work

- SDSM
- TreadMarks
- Intel's Cluster OpenMP

The OpenMP Accelerator Model

- Host-centric model offloads code + data to target devices
- Programmer identifies code to offload using target directive
 - A target region accepts standard OpenMP parallel directives
- Model defines a data environment for the host and target devices that may be disjoint
 - User may not assume that the host and the target devices share an address space
- User migrates data using map clause and target data directive

Data mapping: shared or distributed memory (courtesy of Eric, James, Christian, Michael)

Shared memory



- The corresponding variable in the device data environment *may* share storage with the original variable.
- Writes to the corresponding variable may alter the value of the original variable.

Distributed memory



OpenMP Accelerator Example

Listing 1.1: Matrix-matrix multiply offloaded to a target device for acceleration.

- double A[P][R], B[R][Q], C[P][Q];
- 2
- 3 void main() {
- 4 // Initialize arrays
- 5
- 6 // Offload loop nest for acceleration onto device #1
- #pragma omp target map(to: A[0:P][0:R], B[0:R][0:Q]) map(tofrom: C[0:P][0:R]) device(1)
- 8 // Execute iterations of loop i in parallel on 16 accelerator cores
- #pragma omp parallel for num_threads(16)
- 10 for (int i=0; i<P; i++)
- 11 for (int j=0; j<Q; j++)</pre>
- 12 for (int k=0; k<R; k++)
 - C[i][j] += A[i][k] * B[k][j]
- 14

13

- 15 // Computed array C is available on the host
- 16

An Offloading Model for a Cluster

- OpenMP 4.0 offload model is designed for accelerators
- Can it be extended to a cluster?
- Master offloads code and data to workers
- Workers co-opt local threads or may offload to their local accelerators



• A single program could scale to multicores, accelerators and multiple nodes

Execution Model

- Model defines a clique of shared-memory domains laid out as a tree
- Execution initiates on a host initial thread
- Initial thread on target devices are inactive until activated by a host thread
- Target construct offloads control from host to other shared-memory domains
- Initial thread on host or target may co-opt other threads for parallel execution, for example, using worksharing constructs

Exploiting Multicores

#pragma omp parallel for num_threads(64)
for (i = 0; i < M; i++)
 for (j = 0; j < N; j++)
 A[i][j] += u1[i] * v1[j] + u2[i] * v2[j];</pre>

Exploiting GPUs

#pragma omp target

#pragma omp parallel for num_threads(1024)
for (i = 0; i < M; i++)
 for (j = 0; j < N; j++)
 A[i][j] += u1[i] * v1[j] + u2[i] * v2[j];</pre>

Exploiting Multiple Nodes

Definitions

- Shared-memory domain: Logical realm of processors with storage accessible through a global address space. Cached data within realm is kept coherent by hardware.
- Host Domain: Shared-memory domain on which a program starts execution
- **Target Domain:** One or more shared-memory domains onto which code and data may be offloaded

Memory Model

- Map clause creates corresponding variable on device for every original variable on the host
 - Naming operation identifies distinct host and target storage locations
 - Data transfer operation moves data between the two locations
- Device data are shared across processors within the domain

Implementation Details

- We have implemented our offloading model for clusters in Clang/LLVM
- Uses community based implementation for OpenMP in Clang Status:
 - 3.7 has full OpenMP 3.1 support, released in August 2015
 - Now upstreaming OpenMP 4.0 directives to 3.8, planned Feb 2016, Accelerator support of primary interest
 - Have a target—independent interface with LLVM IR
 - See talk at LLVM/clang Dev Con on
 - "OpenMP GPU/Accelerator support Coming of Age in Clang"
- We have added support for offload directives

People involved bringing OpenMP to Clang

- Michael Wong, IBM
- Alexey Bataev, Intel
- Sergey Ostanevich, Intel
- Samuel Antao, IBM
- Andrey Bokhanko, Intel
- Carlo Bertolli, IBM
- Eric Stotzer, Tl
- Kelvin Li, IBM
- Hal Finkel, Argonne National Lab
- Arpith Jacob, IBM

- Ravi Nair, IBM
- Tong Chen, IBM
- Zehra Sura, IBM
- Sunita Chandrasekaran, University of Delaware
- Alexandre Eichenberger, IBM
- Kevin O'Brien, IBM
- Guansong Zhang, AMD
- Ravi Narayanaswamy, Intel

Implementation Details



- Lomp : OpenMP runtime for multicores on host and target devices
- Libomptarget: Manages device data environment (reference counting) and code offloading
- Target specific plugins: Implements low-level memory management, data transfer, and code execution commands (MPI for clusters; CUDA for NVIDIA GPUs)

Target Plugin: Cluster Offloading

- Single host binary executes on all MPI ranks
- Workers (rank > 0) enter event loop at program startup and wait on Host (master)
- Master (rank 0) communicates with workers via MPI command messages
- Commands: allocate memory on worker, delete memory, copy to/from worker, load and execute target region
- Uses thread safe MPI library to manage multiple workers simultaneously

Results: HMM Database Search

- Tested on HMM Search (HMMER 3.1b2)
- Compares Query HMM (Hidden Markov Model of protein family) against database of 3.2 million protein sequences
- We partition database and dynamically offload database search to between 2 to 64 ranks (16 ranks per node)
- Experiments run on 4 node IBM Power 8 cluster (4 sockets, each with 6 cores)
- We use Open MPI library version 1.8.5
- We compare our results against native MPI implementation written by authors of HMMER software

Native MPI Implementation

- **Steps 1-3**: Co-opt workers and dynamically offload database partitions
- Step 4: Barrier synchronize all workers for work completion
- **Step 5-6**: Request and receive results from workers



OpenMP Implementation

- Master host thread serially iterates over query HMMs
- Parallel for starts num_devices host I/O threads
- Each I/O thread offloads query+DB partition; initiates execution on device; and collects results on completion

```
target():
  foreach (query : HMM)
    // In parallel, activate a dedicated thread for each device
    // and schedule work dynamically
    #pragma omp parallel for schedule(dynamic) \
                num threads(num devices)
    foreach (block : DB)
       device = omp_get_thread_num()
      // Thread sends work to its device and waits for results
#pragma omp target device(device) map(to:block, query) \
                  map(from: results) {
         foreach (sequence : block)
           p7 Pipeline(sequence)
```

OpenMP Implementation

- Worksharing construct partitions DB across ranks
- Dynamic schedule achieves load balancing
- Query/DB copy and results aggregation via map clauses
- Barrier after parallel pragma synchronizes workers

```
target():
  foreach (query : HMM)
    // In parallel, activate a dedicated thread for each device
    // and schedule work dynamically
    #pragma omp parallel for schedule(dynamic) \
                num threads(num devices)
    foreach (block : DB)
       device = omp_get_thread_num()
      // Thread sends work to its device and waits for results
#pragma omp target device(device) map(to:block, query) \
                  map(from: results) {
         foreach (sequence : block)
           p7 Pipeline(sequence)
```

Experimental Results



- With **static** schedule we observe scaling behavior comparable to native MPI implementation
- Has considerable overhead

Experimental Results



- **Dynamic** schedule reduces runtime up to 50%
- Load balancing achieved through OpenMP runtime

Experimental Results



- Establish persistent data environment using target data directive to reduce overhead
- Investigating additional techniques to reduce data management overhead (reuse device memory)

Future Work

- Exploiting cores in a node: use worksharing construct to distribute database sequences across device cores
- Beyond offloading: asynchronous updates of host variables by the target; arbitrary communication between devices
- Nested target regions: to exploit accelerators within a node

Conclusions

- We have introduced a model and implementation to program a cluster with OpenMP offload directives
- Results on bioinformatics application shows good scaling
- Compared to native MPI implementation OpenMP abstractions do work scheduling, load balancing, data transfer, and synchronization of nodes